



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/825,654	04/16/2004	K.R. Kishore	0063-108001	8480

57246 7590 08/26/2010
BRAKE HUGHES BELLERMANN LLP
c/o CPA Global
P.O. Box 52050
Minneapolis, MN 55402

EXAMINER

MAHMOUDZADEH, NIMA

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2477

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

08/26/2010

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

BILL@BRAKEHUGHES.COM
uspto@brakehughes.com
docketing@cpaglobal.com

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/825,654	Applicant(s) KISHORE ET AL.	
	Examiner NIMA MAHMOUDZADEH	Art Unit 2477	

All Participants:

(1) NIMA MAHMOUDZADEH.

(2) HUGHES, WILLIAM 46112 (ATTNY).

Date of Interview: 23 August 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____ .

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

This is to confirm that the arguments filed in the after final office action dated 07/13/2010 overcome the cited prior art reference(s) therefore a new action will be mailed out by the office.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Chirag G Shah/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2477
/NIMA MAHMOUDZADEH/
Examiner, Art Unit 2477

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)